



# CENTRAL ASIAN JOURNAL OF THEORETICAL AND APPLIED SCIENCES

Volume: 04 Issue: 05 | May 2023 ISSN: 2660-5317

<https://cajotas.centralasianstudies.org>

## Local and Global Stability of the $L_{1+\epsilon}$ -Curvature

Salih Yousuf Mohamed Salih

Department of mathematics, Faculty of Science, Bakht Al-Ruda University, Duaim.

[salih7175.ss@gmail.com](mailto:salih7175.ss@gmail.com)

Shahinaz.A. Elsamani

Department of mathematics, Faculty of Science, Bakht Al-Ruda University, Duaim.

[Shahinazel121@gmail.com](mailto:Shahinazel121@gmail.com)

Received 28<sup>th</sup> Mar 2023, Accepted 29<sup>th</sup> Apr 2023, Online 26<sup>th</sup> May 2023

**Abstract:** Origin-centered balls only, when  $1 \neq (1 + \epsilon) > -(2 + \epsilon)$ , and only for balls when  $\epsilon = 0$  is the  $L_{1+\epsilon}$  curvature of a smooth, strictly convex body in  $\mathbb{R}^{2+\epsilon}$  known to be constant. Only for origin-symmetric ellipsoids does the  $L_{-(2+\epsilon)}$ -curvature remain constant if  $\epsilon = 0$ . Using the global stability result from [5], we demonstrate that for 0, the volume symmetric difference between  $K$  and a translation of the unit ball  $B$  is nearly zero if the  $(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -curvature is approximately constant. Here, we have  $K$  shrunk to the same volume of a unit ball, denoted by  $K$ . We demonstrate a comparable result for  $\epsilon \leq 1$  in the  $L^2$ -distance class of origin-symmetric entities. We also demonstrate a local stability conclusion for  $-(2 + \epsilon) < 1 + \epsilon < 0$ : Any strictly convex body with 'nearly' constant  $L_{1+\epsilon}$ -curvature is 'almost' the unit ball, and this neighborhood surrounds the unit ball. Both a global stability result in  $R^2$  for  $\epsilon = -3/2$  and a local stability result for  $\epsilon > 0$  in the Banach-Mazur distance are demonstrated.

**Keywords:**  $L_{1+\epsilon}$  curvature function,  $L_{1+\epsilon}$  Minkowski inequality.

### 1. Introduction

A convex body is called compact convex subset of  $\mathbb{R}^{(2+\epsilon)}$  and  $(2 + \epsilon)$ -dimensional Euclidean space, with non-empty interior.

The support of the series functions of a convex body  $K$  is defined by

$$\sum h_K^m(u_m) := \max_{x \in K} \sum x \cdot u_m, \forall u_m \in S^{(1+\epsilon)}.$$

For  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  and  $(v_m)_K: \partial K \rightarrow S^{(1+\epsilon)}$ , and let

$$(v_m)_K^{-1}: S^{(1+\epsilon)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2+\epsilon}$$

be the Gauss parameterization of  $\partial K$ . In this case, we have

$$\sum h_K(u_m) = \sum u_m \cdot (v_m)_K^{-1}(u_m)$$

The Gauss curvature of  $\partial K, \mathcal{K}_K$ , and the curvature function of  $\partial K, f_K^m$ , are related to the support functions of the convex body by.

$$f_K^m = \sum \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}_K \circ (v_m)_K^{-1}} = \sum \frac{\det(\nabla_{i,j}^2 h_K^m + g_{ij} h_K^m)}{\det(g_{ij})}$$

The function  $h_K^{(-\epsilon)m} f_K^m$  is called the  $(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -curvature function of  $K$ .

For  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  we define the scale invariant quantity

$$\mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(K) = \max_{S^{(1+\epsilon)}} (h_K^{(-\epsilon)m} f_K^m) / \min_{S^{(1+\epsilon)}} (h_K^{((- \epsilon)m)} f_K^m).$$

Which is due to a collective work of Firey, Lutwak, Andrews, Brendle, Choi, and Daskalopoulos [2],[3],[4],[5],[6],[7],[8],[9] :

**Theorem.** Let  $0 > \epsilon > \infty, \epsilon \neq 2 + \epsilon$ . If  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  satisfies then  $K$  is the unit ball.

$$h_K^{(-\epsilon)m} f_K^m \equiv 1$$

The relative asymmetry of two convex bodies  $K, K + \epsilon$  is defined as

$$\mathcal{A}(K, K + \epsilon) := \inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}^{2+\epsilon}} \frac{V(K \Delta (\lambda(K + \epsilon) + x))}{V(K)}, \text{ where } \lambda^{2+\epsilon} = \frac{V(K)}{V(K + \epsilon)}$$

And  $K \Delta (K + \epsilon) = (K \setminus (K + \epsilon)) \cup ((K + \epsilon) \setminus K)$ .

**Theorem 1.1.** Let  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . There exists a constant  $C$  independent of dimension with the following property. Any  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{K}, B) \leq C(2 + \epsilon)^{2.5} \left( \mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(K)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} - 1 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

The  $(K + \epsilon)_{(2+\epsilon)}$ -Minkowski inequality also allows us to prove the global stability for  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$  in the class of origin-symmetric bodies in the  $(K + \epsilon)^2$ -distance. The  $L^2$ -distance of  $K, K + \epsilon$  is defined by

$$\delta_2(K, K + \epsilon) = \left( \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \int \sum |h_K^m - h_{K+\epsilon}^m|^2 d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Here  $\sigma$  is the spherical Lebesgue measure on  $S^{(1+\epsilon)}$ , and  $\omega_i$  is the surface area of the  $i$ -dimensional ball.

**Theorem 1.2.** Let  $0 \leq \epsilon \leq 1$  and  $K \in \mathcal{F}^{2+\epsilon}$  be origin-symmetric. There exists an origin-centered ball  $B_{1+\epsilon}$  with radius  $1 \leq 1 + \epsilon \leq \mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(K)$ , such that

$$\delta_2(\tilde{K}, B_{1+\epsilon}) \leq D(\tilde{K})(1 - \mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(K)^{-1})^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Here the diameter of  $\tilde{K}, D(\tilde{K})$ , satisfies the inequality

$$D(\tilde{K}) \leq 2 \left( \left( 1 + \left( \frac{4\omega_{(1+\epsilon)}}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(K) \right)^3$$

For  $1 + \epsilon \in (-(2 + \epsilon), 0)$ , we also establish a local stability result. The points  $e_{1+\epsilon}$  will be defined in Definition 2.1.

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $1 + \epsilon \in (-(2 + \epsilon), 0)$ . There exist positive constants  $\gamma, \delta$ , depending only on  $(2 + \epsilon), (1 + \epsilon)$  with the following property. If  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  with  $e_{1+\epsilon}(K) = 0$  satisfies  $\sum |h_{\lambda K}^m - 1|_{C^3} \leq \delta$  for some  $\lambda > 0$ , then  $\delta_2(\tilde{K}, B) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(K) - 1)$ .

**Remark 1.4.** For the case  $\epsilon = 0$ , The logarithmic Minkowski inequality in the class of convex bodies with multiple symmetries proven by Böröczky and Kalantzopoulos in [12] has been used to improve the stability of the cone-volume measure by Böröczky and De in [11]. We proved Theorem 1.2, however is independent of the existence of  $(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -Minkowski inequality for  $0 \leq \epsilon < 1$ , it is worth pointing out that such an inequality exists in some particular cases:  $0 < \epsilon \leq 1$  and in the class of origin-symmetric convex bodies in the plane, or in any dimension and in the class of origin-symmetric bodies for  $0 < \epsilon < 1$  where  $\epsilon > 0$  is some constant depending on  $(2 + \epsilon)$ ; see [13], [14], [15], [16].

Let  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$ . The centro-affine curvature of  $K, H_K$ , is defined by

$$H_K := \left( h_K^{(1+\epsilon)m} f_K^m \right)^{-1}$$

It is known the key properties of the centro-affine curvature is that  $\min H_K$  and  $\max H_K$  are invariant under special linear transformation  $S(K + \epsilon)(2 + \epsilon)$ . That is,

$$\min_{S^{1+\epsilon}} H_K = \min_{S^{1+\epsilon}} H_{\ell K}, \max_{S^{1+\epsilon}} H_K = \max_{S^{1+\epsilon}} H_{\ell K}, \forall \ell \in S(K + \epsilon)(2 + \epsilon). \quad (1.1)$$

Pogorelov's remarkable theorem asserts that an origin-centered ellipsoid is a smooth, strictly convex body with constant centro-affine curvature [17], Thm. [18].[19].[20], [21],[18],[22]. Stability versions of this statement include, in the Banach-Mazur distance  $d_{\mathcal{BM}}$ . For two convex bodies  $K, K + \epsilon$  is defined by

$$d_{\mathcal{BM}}(K, K + \epsilon) = \min\{\lambda \geq 1: (K - x) \subseteq \ell((K + \epsilon) - y) \subseteq \lambda(K - x), \\ \ell \in G(K + \epsilon)(2 + \epsilon), x, y \in \mathbb{R}^{2+\epsilon}\}$$

Question 2. Is there an increasing function  $f^m$  with  $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \sum f^m(\epsilon) = 0$  with the following property? If  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_{-(2+\epsilon)}(K) = \frac{\max H_K}{\min H_K} \leq 1 + \epsilon$$

then  $K$  is  $f^m(\epsilon)$ -close to an ellipsoid in the Banach-Mazur distance.

The following theorem gives a positive answer to this question in the plane under no additional assumption.

**Theorem 1.5.** There exist  $\gamma, \delta > 0$  with the following property. If  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^2$  satisfies  $\mathcal{R}_{-2}(K) \leq 1 + \delta$ , then we have

$$(d_{\mathcal{BM}}(K, B) - 1)^4 \leq \gamma(\mathcal{R}_{-2}(K) - 1)$$

If  $K$  has its Santaló point at the origin, then

$$(d_{\mathcal{BM}}(K, B) - 1)^4 \leq \gamma(\sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{-2}(K)} - 1)$$

In this case, we may allow  $\delta = \infty$ .

$$d_{\mathcal{BM}}(K, B) \leq \sqrt{\mathcal{R}_{-2}(K)}$$

**Theorem 1.6.** There exist positive numbers  $\gamma, \delta$ , depending only on  $(2 + \epsilon)$  with the following property. Suppose  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  has its Santaló point at the origin, and for some  $\ell \in G(K + \epsilon)(2 + \epsilon)$  we have  $\sum |h_{\ell K}^m - 1|_{C^2} \leq \delta$ .

## 2. Background

$$d_{\mathcal{BM}}(K, B) \leq \gamma(\mathcal{R}_{-(2+\epsilon)}(K) - 1)^{\frac{1}{3(3+\epsilon)}} + 1$$

A convex body  $K$  is said to be of class  $\mathcal{C}_+^2$ , if its boundary hypersurface is two-times continuously differentiable and the support function is differentiable.

Let  $K, K + \epsilon$  be two convex bodies with the origin of  $\mathbb{R}^{2+\epsilon}$  in their interiors. We put  $(1 + \epsilon) \cdot K := (1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} K$  and  $(1 + 2\epsilon) \cdot (K + \epsilon) := (1 + 2\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+2\epsilon}} (K + \epsilon)$  where  $\epsilon > 0$ . For  $\epsilon \geq 0$ , the

$(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -linear combination  $(1 + \epsilon) \cdot K +_{1+\epsilon} (1 + 2\epsilon) \cdot (K + \epsilon)$  is defined as the convex body whose support function is given by  $((1 + \epsilon)h_K^{(1+\epsilon)m} + (1 + 2\epsilon)h_{K+\epsilon}^{(1+\epsilon)m})^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}$ .

For  $K, K + \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}_0^{2+\epsilon}$ , the mixed  $(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -volume  $V_{1+\epsilon}(K, K + \epsilon)$  is defined as the first variation of the usual volume with respect to the  $(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -sum:

$$\frac{2 + \epsilon}{1 + \epsilon} V_{1+\epsilon}(K, K + \epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{V(K +_{1+\epsilon} \epsilon \cdot (K + \epsilon)) - V(K)}{\epsilon}.$$

Aleksandrov, Fenchel and Jessen for  $\epsilon = 0$  and Lutwak [7] for  $\epsilon > 0$  have shown that there exists a unique Borel measure  $S_{1+\epsilon}(K, \cdot)$  on  $S^{1+\epsilon}$ ,  $L_{1+\epsilon}$ -surface area measure, such that

$$V_{1+\epsilon}(K, K + \epsilon) = \frac{1}{2 + \epsilon} \int \sum h_{K+\epsilon}^{(1+\epsilon)m}(u_m) dS_{1+\epsilon}(K, u_m)$$

Moreover,  $S_{1+\epsilon}(K, \cdot)$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the surface area measure of  $K$ ,  $S(K, \cdot)$ , and has the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\frac{dS_{1+\epsilon}(K, \cdot)}{dS(K, \cdot)} = \sum h_K^{(-\epsilon)m}(\cdot)$$

The measure  $dS_{1+\epsilon, K} = h_K^{(-\epsilon)m} dS_K$  is known as the  $L_{1+\epsilon}$ -surface area measure. If the boundary of  $K$  is  $C^2_+$ , then

$$\frac{dS_K}{d\sigma} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{H}_K \circ \nu_K^{-1}} = f_K^m$$

For  $\epsilon > 0$ , the  $L_{1+}$ -Minkowski inequality states that for convex bodies  $K, K + \epsilon$  with the origin in their interiors we have

$$\frac{1}{2 + \epsilon} \int \sum h_{K+\epsilon}^{(1+\epsilon)m} dS_{1+\epsilon}(K) \geq V(K)^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}} V(K + \epsilon)^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}}$$

with equality holds if and only if  $K$  and  $K + \epsilon$  are dilates (i.e. for some  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $K = \lambda(K + \epsilon)$ ; see [19]. For  $\epsilon = 0$ , the same inequality holds for all  $K, K + \epsilon \in \mathcal{K}^{2+\epsilon}$ , and equality holds if and only if  $K$  is homothetic to  $(K + \epsilon)$ .

The polar body,  $K^*$ , of  $K \in \mathcal{K}_0^{2+\epsilon}$  is the convex body defined by

$$K^* = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^{2+\epsilon} : x \cdot y \leq 1, \forall x \in K\}$$

All geometric quantities associated with the polar body are furnished by  $*$ . For  $x \in \text{int } K$ , let  $K^x := (K - x)^*$ . The Santaló point of  $K$ , denoted by  $s = s(K)$ , is the unique point in  $\text{int } K$  such that

$$V(K^s) \leq V(K^x), \forall x \in \text{int } K$$

If  $K = -K$ , then  $s(K) = 0$  and  $K^* = K^s$ .

The Blaschke-Santaló inequality states that

$$V(K^s)V(K) \leq V(B)^2$$

and equality holds if and only if  $K$  is an ellipsoid.

**Definition 2.1.** The  $(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -widths of  $K \in \mathcal{K}^n$  are defined as follows.

- (1) For  $\epsilon > 0$ :  $\varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(K) = \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \inf_{x \in \text{int } K} \int h_{K-x}^{1+\epsilon} d\sigma$ .
- (2) For  $\epsilon = -1$ :  $\varepsilon_0(K) = \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \sup_{x \in \text{int } K} \int \log h_{K-x}^m d\sigma$ .
- (3) For  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ :  $\varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(K) = \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \sup_{x \in \text{int } K} \int h_{K-x}^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma$ .
- (4) For  $0 \leq \epsilon < (2 + \epsilon)$ :  $\varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(K) = \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \inf_{x \in \text{int } K} \int \sum h_{K-x}^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma$ .

Here  $\omega_{2+\epsilon} = (2 + \epsilon)\kappa_{2+\epsilon} = \int d\sigma$

Here,  $e_{1+\epsilon}$  denotes the unique point at which the corresponding sup or inf is attained. The points  $e_{1+\epsilon}$  are always in the interior of the convex body; see e.g. [23], Lem. 3.1]. If  $K$  is origin-symmetric, then  $e_{1+\epsilon}(K)$  lies at the origin.

For  $\epsilon \geq 0$  by the  $L_{1+\epsilon}$ -Minkowski inequality we have

$$\varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) \geq 1 \quad (2.1)$$

For  $0 < \epsilon \leq 2 + \epsilon$  by the Blaschke-Santaló inequality,

$$\varepsilon_0(\tilde{K}) \geq 0, \varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) \leq 1, \quad (2.2)$$

and equality holds when  $K$  is a ball. Moreover, for  $\epsilon < 1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K})\varepsilon_{-1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) &= \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}^2} \int \sum h_{\tilde{K}-e_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K})}^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma \int h_{\tilde{K}-e_{-1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K})}^{-(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma \\ &\geq \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}^2} \int \sum h_{\tilde{K}-e_{-1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K})}^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma h_{\tilde{K}-e_{-1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K})}^{-(1+2+\epsilon)m} \geq 1, \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

where we used the definition of  $e_{1+\epsilon}$  in the last line. Therefore we obtain

and the equality holds only for balls.

$$\varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) \geq 1 \quad (2.4)$$

We conclude by remarking that  $\mathcal{E}_{1+\epsilon}$  enjoys the second Eojasiewicz-Simon gradient inequality; see [24],[25].

### 3. Stability of the width functionals

We show the stability of the inequalities (2.1) and (2.2) ( $\epsilon \neq -1$ ) (see [1]).

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose  $1 + \epsilon \in [-(2 + \epsilon), 0)$ . Let  $K \in \mathcal{K}^{2+\epsilon}$  with  $V(K) = V(B)$ . Then

$$|e_{1+\epsilon}(K) - s(K)|^2 \leq c_0(1 - \epsilon_{1+\epsilon}(K))D(K)^{1+\epsilon}$$

where  $0_0^{-1} := \frac{(1+\epsilon)(\epsilon)}{2\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \int (u_m \cdot v_m)^2 d\sigma(u_m) = \frac{(1+\epsilon)(\epsilon)}{2(2+\epsilon)}$  for any vector  $v_m$ , and  $D(K)$  denotes the diameter of  $K$ .

Proof. We may suppose  $e_{1+\epsilon}(K) \neq s(K)$ . Define  $v_m = -\frac{e_{1+\epsilon}(K) - s(K)}{|e_{1+\epsilon}(K) - s(K)|}$  and

$$e(t) = e_{1+\epsilon}(K) + tv_m, \quad t \in [0, |e_{1+\epsilon}(K) - s(K)|].$$

Let us denote the support function of  $K - e(t)$  by  $h_t^m$  and

$$E(t) := \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \int \sum h_t^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma$$

Note that  $E(0) = \mathcal{E}_{1+\epsilon}(K)$ ,  $E'(0) = 0$  and the second derivative of  $E$  is given by

Due to  $h_t^m \leq D(K)$  we obtain

$$E''(t) = \frac{(1+\epsilon)(\epsilon)}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \int \sum h_t^{(\epsilon-1)m}(u_m)(u_m \cdot v_m)^2 d\sigma(u_m)$$

$$D(K)^{\epsilon-1}|e_{1+\epsilon}(K) - s(K)|^2 \leq c_0 \left( \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \int \sum h_{K-s(K)}^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma - \mathcal{E}_{1+\epsilon}(K) \right)$$

Now the claim follows from the Blaschke-Santaló inequality. We have the following (see [1]).

**Theorem 3.2.** The following statements hold.

(1) Let  $\epsilon \geq 0$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) \leq 1 + \epsilon$ , then

$$\mathcal{A}(\tilde{K}, B)^2 \leq C(2 + \epsilon)^5 \left( (1 + \epsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} - 1 \right).$$

Here  $C$  is a universal constant that does not depend on  $(2 + \epsilon)$ .

(2) Let  $1 + \epsilon \in (-(2 + \epsilon), 0)$ . If  $\mathcal{E}_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) \geq 1 - \epsilon$ , then there exists an origincentered ball of radius  $(1 + \epsilon)B_{1+\epsilon}$ , such that



$$\delta_2(\tilde{K} - e_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}), B_{1+\epsilon}) \leq (2c_1(D(\tilde{K}) + (1+\epsilon))^{(3+\epsilon)}\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (c_0 D(\tilde{K})^{1-\epsilon}\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Moreover, if  $\tilde{K}$  is origin-symmetric, then the last term on the right-hand-side can be dropped and  $D(\tilde{K})$  can be replaced by  $\frac{1}{2}D(\tilde{K})$ . Here

$$1 \leq (1+\epsilon) \leq (1-\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}, \quad c_1 := \max\left\{\frac{2+\epsilon}{(3+2\epsilon)}, -\frac{2+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}\right\}$$

and  $c_0$  is the constant from Lemma 3.1.

Proof. Case  $\epsilon \geq 0$ : Since  $\varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) \leq 1 + \epsilon$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \int \sum h_K^m d\sigma \leq \varepsilon_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K})^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}} \leq (1+\epsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+\epsilon}}$$

The refinement of Urysohn's inequality in [10] completes the proof.

Case  $-(2+\epsilon) < 1+\epsilon < 0$ : Assume  $V(K) = V(B)$ . Denote the support function of  $K - e_{1+\epsilon}(K)$  by  $h_{1+\epsilon}^m$  and the support function of  $K - s(K)$  by  $h_s^m$ . Since  $s(K), e_{1+\epsilon}(K)$  are in the interior of  $K$ , both  $h_s^m$  and  $h_{1+\epsilon}^m$  are positive functions.

Let us put

By [20], Thm. 2.2], we have

$$f^m = h_s^{(1+\epsilon)m}, \quad g = 1, \quad (1+\epsilon)^2 = -(2+\epsilon), \quad (1+2\epsilon) = \frac{2+\epsilon}{(3+2\epsilon)}, \quad c_1 = \max\{1+\epsilon, 1+2\epsilon\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \frac{\int h_s^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma}{\left(\int \frac{1}{h_s^{(2+\epsilon)m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}} \omega_{\frac{2+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}}} \\ & \leq 1 - \frac{1}{c_1} \sum \left| \frac{h_s^{-(\frac{2+\epsilon}{2})m}}{\left(\int \frac{1}{h_s^{(2+\epsilon)m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{\frac{2+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}}} \right|_{(K+\epsilon)^2}^2 \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

Due to our assumption,

$$\int \sum (h_s^{1+\epsilon m}) d\sigma \geq \int \sum h_{1+\epsilon}^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma \geq \omega_{2+\epsilon}(1-\epsilon). \quad (3.2)$$

By the Blaschke-Santaló inequality, we have

$$\int \sum \frac{1}{h_s^{(2+\epsilon)m}} d\sigma \leq \omega_{2+\epsilon} \quad (3.3)$$



From (3.2),(3.3), it follows that

$$1 - \varepsilon \leq \sum \frac{\int h_s^{(1+\varepsilon)m} d\sigma}{\left(\int \frac{1}{h_s^{(2+\varepsilon)m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}} \omega_{2+\varepsilon}^{\frac{3+2\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}}} \quad (3.4)$$

$$(1 - \varepsilon)\omega_{2+\varepsilon} \leq \int \sum h_s^{(1+\varepsilon)m} d\sigma \leq \left(\int \sum \frac{1}{h_s^{(2+\varepsilon)m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}} \omega_{2+\varepsilon}^{\frac{3+2\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}}$$

Combining (3.1) and (3.4) we obtain

$$\sum \left| h_s^{\left(\frac{2+\varepsilon}{2}\right)m} - (1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{2}} \right|_{(K+\varepsilon)^2}^2 \leq c_1 \omega_{2+\varepsilon} D(K)^{2+\varepsilon} \varepsilon \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$(1 + \varepsilon)^{2+\varepsilon} := \omega_{2+\varepsilon} \left(\int \sum \frac{1}{h_s^{(2+\varepsilon)m}} d\sigma\right)^{-1}, \quad 1 \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \leq (1 - \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{1+\varepsilon}} \quad (3.6)$$

In view of (3.5) and (3.6) we have

$$\sum |h_s^m - (1 + \varepsilon)|_{(K+\varepsilon)^2}^2 \leq c_1 \omega_{2+\varepsilon} \left(D(K)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 + \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^2 D(K)^{2+\varepsilon} \varepsilon \quad (3.7)$$

If  $K$  is origin-symmetric, then  $s(K) = e_{1+\varepsilon}(K)$  and the proof is complete. Moreover, in this case we could have replaced  $D(K)$  by  $\frac{1}{2}D(K)$ . Otherwise, to bound  $\sum |h_{1+\varepsilon}^m - (1 + \varepsilon)|_{(K+\varepsilon)^2}$ , note that by Lemma 3.1 we have

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} |e_{1+\varepsilon}(K) - s(K)|^2 &\leq c_0 D(K)^{1+\varepsilon} \varepsilon \\ &\leq \sum |h_{1+\varepsilon}^m - (1 + \varepsilon)|_{(K+\varepsilon)^2} \\ &\leq \sum |h_s^m - (1 + \varepsilon)|_{(K+\varepsilon)^2} + \omega_{2+\varepsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} |e_{1+\varepsilon}(K) - s(K)| \\ &\leq (2c_1 \omega_{2+\varepsilon} (D(K) + (1 + \varepsilon))^{(3+\varepsilon)} \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}} + (c_0 \omega_{2+\varepsilon} D(K)^{1+\varepsilon} \varepsilon)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

**Remark 3.3.** The exponent  $1/2$  in (1) is sharp; cf. [26]. Moreover, using [[27], Thm. [11].[8].[8]] it is also possible to give a stability result of order  $1/(3 + \varepsilon)$  in (1) for the Hausdorff distance  $d_{\mathcal{H}}(\tilde{K} - \text{cent}(\tilde{K}), B)$ ; we leave out the details to the interested reader. By cutting off opposite caps of height  $\varepsilon$  of the unit ball, one can see that the optimal order cannot be better than 1 in (2).

**Theorem 3.4.** Suppose  $K$  is an origin-symmetric convex body with

$$\mathcal{E}_{-1}(\tilde{K}) \geq 1 - \varepsilon \text{ for some } \varepsilon \in (0, 1)$$

Then there exists an origin-centered ball  $B_{1+\epsilon}$  of radius  $1 \leq 1 + \epsilon \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}$  such that

Moreover, we have

$$\delta_2(\tilde{K}, B_{1+\epsilon}) \leq D(\tilde{K})\sqrt{\epsilon}$$

$$\left(\frac{1}{2}D(\tilde{K})\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq \left(1 + \left(\frac{4\omega_{1+\epsilon}}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\right) \frac{1}{1 - \epsilon}$$

Proof. Set  $h^m = h_{\tilde{K}}^m$ . We have

$$\sum \frac{\int \frac{1}{h^m} d\sigma}{\left(\int \frac{1}{h^{2m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{2+\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}} = 1 - \frac{1}{2} \sum \left| \frac{\frac{1}{h^m}}{\left(\int \frac{1}{h^{2m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} - \frac{1}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right|_{(K+\epsilon)^2}^2.$$

By our assumption and the Blaschke-Santaló inequality,

Therefore,

$$\int \sum \frac{1}{h^m} d\sigma \geq \omega_{2+\epsilon}(1 - \epsilon), \quad \sum \frac{1}{h^{2m}} d\sigma \leq \omega_{2+\epsilon}$$

Combining these inequalities, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \epsilon &\leq \sum \frac{\int \frac{1}{h^m} d\sigma}{\left(\int \frac{1}{h^{2m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{2+\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}}}, \quad (1 - \epsilon)\omega_{2+\epsilon} \leq \int \sum \frac{1}{h^m} d\sigma \\ &\leq \left(\int \sum \frac{1}{h^{2m}} d\sigma\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \omega_{2+\epsilon}^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

$$\sum |h^m - (1 + \epsilon)|_{(K+\epsilon)^2}^2 \leq \omega_{2+\epsilon} D(\tilde{K})^2 \epsilon$$

where  $(1 + \epsilon)^2 := \omega_{2+\epsilon} \left(\int \sum \frac{1}{h^{2m}} d\sigma\right)^{-1}$  and  $1 \leq 1 + \epsilon \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}$ .

Next we estimate the diameter from above. Define

$$S = \left\{v_m \in S^{1+\epsilon}; \sum h_{\tilde{K}}^m(v_m) \leq R_{\tilde{s}}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right\}$$

where  $R := \max h_K^m = h_K^m(u_m)$  for some vector  $u_m \in S^{1+\epsilon}$ . We may assume  $R > 1$ . Then by the

Blaschke-Santaló inequality we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \epsilon)\omega_{2+\epsilon} &\leq \int_S \sum \frac{1}{h_K^m} d\sigma + \int_{S^c} \sum \frac{1}{h_K^m} d\sigma \\ &\leq \sum \left( \int_S \frac{1}{h_K^{2m}} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} |S|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{|S^c|}{R^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &\leq (\omega_{2+\epsilon})^{\frac{1}{2}} |S|^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\omega_{2+\epsilon}}{R^{\frac{1}{2}}} \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by convexity we have  $\sum h_K^m(v_m) \geq \sum R|u_m \cdot v_m|$  for all  $v_m \in S^{1+\epsilon}$ . Hence if  $v_m \in S$ , then  $\sum |u_m \cdot v_m| \leq R^{-\frac{2}{2+\epsilon}}$ . Now using  $\frac{\pi}{2} - \arccos x \leq 2x$ ,  $\forall x \in [0, 1]$

we obtain

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2}|S| \leq \omega_{1+\epsilon} \int_{\arccos R^{-\frac{2}{2+\epsilon}}}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \sin^{1+\epsilon} \theta d\theta \leq \frac{2\omega_{1+\epsilon}}{R^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

We give the proofs of the main theorems (see [27]).

$$1 - \epsilon \leq \left( 1 + \left( \frac{4\omega_{1+\epsilon}}{\omega_{2+\epsilon}} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right) \frac{1}{R^{\frac{1}{2}}}$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** Suppose  $m_0 \leq h_K^{m(\epsilon)} dS_K/d\sigma \leq M$ . Therefore by the  $L_{1+\epsilon^-}$  Minkowski inequality,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m_0}{2+\epsilon} \frac{\int h_K^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma}{V(B)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}} V(K)^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}}} &\leq \frac{1}{2+\epsilon} \sum \frac{\int h_K^{(1+\epsilon)m} h_K^{m(-\epsilon)} dS_K}{V(B)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}} V(K)^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}}} \\ &= \frac{V(K)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}}}{V(B)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}}} \leq M \\ &\leq \sum \frac{V(B)^{-\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}} \frac{1}{2+\epsilon} \int h_K^{m(-\epsilon)} dS_K}{V(B)^{1-\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}}} \leq M \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\mathcal{E}_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K}) \leq \mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(\tilde{K})$ , and by Theorem 3.2 the proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 1.2.** Assume  $m_0 \leq h_K^{m(-\epsilon)} dS_K/d\sigma \leq M$ . Then by the  $(L)_{2+\epsilon^-}$  Minkowski inequality for  $\epsilon \geq 0$  we have

Therefore,

$$\frac{1}{2+\epsilon} \int \sum \frac{1}{h_K^{m(2\epsilon+1)}} h_K^{m(-\epsilon)} dS_K \geq V(B)$$

Owing to (2.4) for  $\epsilon \geq 0$  we have

$$\frac{M}{2+\epsilon} V(K)^{\frac{2\epsilon+1}{2+\epsilon}} \int \sum \frac{1}{h_K^{m(2\epsilon+1)}} d\sigma \geq V(K)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}} V(B). \quad (3.8)$$

$$V(K) \geq \frac{m_0}{2+\epsilon} \int \sum h_K^{(1+\epsilon)m} d\sigma \geq m_0 V(K)^{\frac{1+\epsilon}{2+\epsilon}} V(B)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}}$$

and hence for  $\epsilon \geq -1$ ,

$$V(K)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}} \geq m_0 V(B)^{\frac{1}{2+\epsilon}} \quad (3.9)$$

Since  $e_{-1}(K) = 0$ , in view of (3.8) we obtain  $\mathcal{E}_{-1}(\tilde{K}) \geq \mathcal{R}_{1+\epsilon}(K)^{-1}$ . The claim follows from Theorem 3.4.

**Remark 3.5.** It is clear from the proofs of Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.2, that if  $K$  has only a positive continuous curvature function, then the same conclusions hold.

Remark 3.6. Applying the Blaschke-Santaló inequality to the left-hand side of (3.8), we obtain

This combined with (3.9) yields

$$\left( \frac{V(K)}{V(B)} \right)^{\frac{2\epsilon+1}{2+\epsilon}} \leq M$$

$$m_0 \leq \left( \frac{V(K)}{V(B)} \right)^{\frac{2\epsilon+1}{2+\epsilon}} \leq M$$

Hence in the class of origin-symmetric bodies if  $V(K) = V(B)$ , then for any  $\epsilon \geq -1$  the  $(K + \epsilon)_{1+\epsilon}$ -curvature function attains the value 1 at some point; see also Question 3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Define  $\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\epsilon}: \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\epsilon}(h_{K+\epsilon}^m) = \left( \int \sum h_{K+\epsilon}^{1+\epsilon m} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{2+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}} / V(K + \epsilon)$$

By the divergence theorem we have

$$\sum (\text{grad } \tilde{\mathcal{E}}_{1+\epsilon})(h_K^m) = \sum \frac{h_K^{(\epsilon)m} \left( \int h_K^{1+\epsilon m} d\sigma \right)^{\frac{2+\epsilon}{1+\epsilon}}}{V(K)^2} \left( \frac{(2+\epsilon)V(K)}{\int h_K^{1+\epsilon m} d\sigma} - h_K^{(-\epsilon)m} f_K^m \right)$$

By [25], Sec. 3.13 (ii)] and [ [25], p. 80], there exist  $c_2, \delta > 0$ , such that for any  $K$  with  $\sum |h_K^m - 1|_{C^3} \leq \delta$ , there holds

$$|\tilde{\varepsilon}_{1+\varepsilon}(K) - \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1+\varepsilon}(B)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_2 \sum |(\text{grad } \tilde{\varepsilon}_{1+\varepsilon})(h_K^m)|_{(K+\varepsilon)^2}$$

Assuming  $m_0 \leq h_K^{(-\varepsilon)m} f_K^m \leq M$  gives

$$m_0 \leq \frac{(2+\varepsilon)V(K)}{\int \sum h_K^{(1+\varepsilon)m} d\sigma} \leq M$$

This in turn implies  $|\varepsilon_{1+\varepsilon}(\tilde{K})^{\frac{2+\varepsilon}{1+\varepsilon}} - 1| \leq c_3 (\mathcal{R}_{1+\varepsilon}(\tilde{K}) - 1)^2$ , as well as

$$\varepsilon_{1+\varepsilon}(\tilde{K}) \geq \left(1 + c_3 (\mathcal{R}_{1+\varepsilon}(\tilde{K}) - 1)^2\right)^{\frac{1+\varepsilon}{2+\varepsilon}}$$

Due to Theorem 3.2, the proof is complete.

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** Suppose  $m_0 \leq H_K \leq M$ . By [3, Lem. [18],

$$V(K) \geq \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{M}} \quad (3.10)$$

In fact, the lemma states that if  $V(K) = \pi$ , then centro-affine curvature at some point attains 1. Therefore, since  $V(\sqrt{\pi/V(K)}K) = \pi$ , the function  $(V(K)/\pi)^2 H_K$  takes the value 1 at some point. Hence using (3.10) and the Hölder inequality we obtain

$$V(K)V(K^S) \geq \sum \frac{\left(\int h_K^m f_K^m H_K^{\frac{1}{2}} d\sigma\right)^3}{4 \int h_K^m f_K^m d\sigma} \geq m_0 V(K)^2 \geq \pi^2 \frac{m_0}{M}$$

If the Santaló point is at the origin, then we can obtain a slightly better lower bound for the volume product. By [28], we have

$$\sum H_K(u_m) H_{K^*}(u_m^*) = 1$$

where  $u_m$  and  $u_m^*$  are related by  $\Sigma \langle v_K^{-1}(u_m), v_{K^*}^{-1}(u_m^*) \rangle = 1$ . Since  $K^S = K^*$ , this yields

$$\frac{1}{M} \leq H_{K^S} \leq \frac{1}{m_0}, \quad V(K^S) \geq \pi \sqrt{m_0}$$

Therefore,  $V(K)V(K^S) \geq \pi^2 \sqrt{\frac{m_0}{M}}$ . Now in both cases, the result follows from [29]. The third claim is exactly [29], Cor. [9].

Question 3. Given the previous argument, we would like to raise a question. Suppose  $K \in \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon}$ ,  $\epsilon \geq 0$ , and  $V(K) = V(B)$ . Is it true that the centro-affine curvature of  $K$  attains the value 1 at some point?

**Proof of Theorem 1.6.** For all  $\ell \in G(K + \epsilon)(2 + \epsilon)$ , we have

$$s(\ell K) = \ell s(K) = 0, \quad d_{\mathcal{BM}}(\ell K, B) = d_{\mathcal{BM}}(K, B).$$

Thus we may assume without loss of generality that

for some  $\delta > 0$  to be determined.

$$\sum |h_K^m - 1|_{C^3} \leq \delta$$

Define the functional  $\mathcal{P}: \mathcal{F}_0^{2+\epsilon} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$  by

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}(K + \epsilon) &= \mathcal{P}(h_{K+\epsilon}^m) = \frac{1}{V(K + \epsilon)V((K + \epsilon)^*)} \\ \sum (\text{grad } \mathcal{P})(h_K^m) &= \sum \mathcal{P}^2(K) \left( \frac{V(K)}{h_K^{((2+\epsilon)+1)m}} - V(K^*)f_K^m \right) \\ &= \sum \frac{V(K^*)\mathcal{P}^2(K)}{h_K^{(1+\epsilon)m}} \left( \frac{V(K)}{V(K^*)} - \frac{1}{H_K} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

By [25], Sec. 3.13 (ii), there exist  $\delta, c_2 > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1/2]$ , such that for any  $K$  with  $\sum |h_K^m - 1|_{C^3} \leq \delta$ , we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{V(K)V(K^*)} - \frac{1}{V(B)^2} \right|^{1-\alpha} \leq c_2 \sum |(\text{grad } \mathcal{P})(h_K^m)|_{(K+\epsilon)^2} \quad (3.12)$$

By [25], p. 80] and [30], Lem. 4.1, 4.2] we can choose  $\alpha = 1/2$ .

We estimate the right-hand side of (3.12). Note that  $m_0 \leq H_K \leq M$  implies that

Therefore we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{M} &\leq \frac{V(K)}{V(K^*)} = \sum \frac{\int h_K^m f_K^m d\sigma}{\int h_K^m f_K^m H_K d\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{m_0} \\ \frac{1}{M} &\leq \frac{V(K)}{V(K^*)} \leq \frac{1}{m_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \left| \frac{V(K)}{V(K^*)} - \frac{1}{H_K} \right| \leq \frac{M - m_0}{M m_n} \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

On the other hand, by (3.13) and the Blaschke-Santaló inequality,

$$V(K^*)^2 \leq MV(B)^2 \quad (3.14)$$

Putting (3.11),(3.12),(3.13), and (3.14) all together we arrive at

$$\left| \frac{1}{V(K)V(K^*)} - \frac{1}{V(B)^2} \right|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq c_3 (\mathcal{R}_{-(2+\epsilon)}(K) - 1) \sum \frac{\mathcal{P}^2(K) |h_K^{-m(1+\epsilon)}|_{(K+\epsilon)^2}}{V(K^*)}$$

Since we are in a small neighborhood of the unit ball, the term

$$\sum \frac{\mathcal{P}^2(K) |h_K^{-m(1+\epsilon)}|_{(K+\epsilon)^2}}{V(K^*)}$$

is bounded. Using again the Blaschke-Santaló inequality we obtain

$$1 - c_4 (\mathcal{R}_{-(2+\epsilon)}(K) - 1)^2 \leq \frac{V(K)V(K^*)}{V(B)^2}$$

In view of [ [19], Thm. 1.1], the proof is complete.

## References

1. Mohammad N. Ivaki, On the stability of the  $L_p$ -curvature, J. of Functional Analysis, 283 (2022), 109684.
2. Qazza, A., Abdoon, M., Saadeh, R., & Berir, M. (2023). A New Scheme for Solving a Fractional Differential Equation and a Chaotic System. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 16(2), 1128-1139.
3. Saadeh, R., A. Abdoon, M., Qazza, A., & Berir, M. (2023). A Numerical Solution of Generalized Caputo Fractional Initial Value Problems. Fractal and Fractional, 7(4), 332.
4. Abdoon, M. A., Saadeh, R., Berir, M., & Guma, F. E. (2023). Analysis, modeling and simulation of a fractional-order influenza model. Alexandria Engineering Journal, 74, 231-240.
5. Hasan, F. L., & Abdoon, M. A. (2021). The generalized  $(2+1)$  and  $(3+1)$ -dimensional with advanced analytical wave solutions via computational applications. International Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Applications, 12(2), 1213-1241.
6. W.J. Firey, On the shapes of worn stones, Mathematika 21 (1974) 1-11.
7. E. Lutwak, The Brunn-Minkowski-Firey theory. I. Mixed volumes and the Minkowski problem, J. Differ. Geom. 38 (1993) 131-150.
8. B. Andrews, Gauss curvature flow: the fate of the rolling stones, Invent. Math. 138 (1999) 151-161.
9. S. Brendle, K. Choi, P. Daskalopoulos, Asymptotic behavior of flows by powers of the Gaussian curvature, Acta Math. 219 (2017) 1-16.
10. Segal, Remark on stability of Brunn-Minkowski and isoperimetric inequalities for convex bodies, in: B. Klartag, S. Mendelson, V.D. Milman (Eds.), Geometric Aspects of Functional Analysis, in: Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 2050, Springer, Berlin, 2012, pp. 381-392.



11. K.J. Böröczky, A. De, Stable solution of the log-Minkowski problem in the case of many hyperplane symmetries, *J. Differ. Equ.* 298 (2021) 298-322.
12. K.J. Böröczky, P. Kalantzopoulos, Log-Brunn-Minkowski inequality under symmetry, *Trans. Am. Math. Soc.* (2022), <https://doi.org/10.1090/tran/8691>.
13. S. Chen, H. Yong, Q.R. Li, J. Liu, The  $L_p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequality for  $1 + \epsilon < 1$ , *Adv. Math.* 368 (2020) 107166.
14. A.V. Kolesnikov, E. Milman, Local  $L^p$ -Brunn-Minkowski inequalities for  $1 + \epsilon < 1$ , *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* 277 (2022) 1360.
15. E. Milman, Centro-affine differential geometry and the log-Minkowski problem, arXiv:2104.12408, 2021 (35) Volume (3) December 2022; [UBJSR: ISSN [1858-6139]: (Online)
16. E. Milman, A sharp centro-affine isospectral inequality of Szegő-Weinberger type and the  $L_p$ -Minkowski problem, *J. Differ. Geom.* (2022), [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-64229849-3\\_24](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-64229849-3_24), in press, arXiv:2103.02994.
17. E.C. Gutiérrez, *The Monge-Ampère Equation*, vol. 42, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
18. S.Y. Cheng, S.T. Yau, Complete affine hypersurfaces. Part I. The completeness of affine metrics, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 39 (1986) 839-866.
19. K.J. Böröczky, Stability of Blaschke-Santaló inequality and the affine isoperimetric inequality, *Adv. Math.* 225 (2010) 1914-1928.
20. J.M. Aldaz, A stability version of Hölder's inequality, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 343 (2008) 842-852.
21. E. Calabi, Improper affine hyperspheres of convex type and a generalization of a theorem by K. Jörgens, *Mich. Math. J.* 5 (1958) 105-126.
22. M. Marini, G. De Philippis, A note on Petty's problem, *Kodai Math. J.* 37 (2014) 586594.
23. M.N. Ivaki, Deforming a hypersurface by Gauss curvature and support function, *J. Funct. Anal.* 271 (2016) 2133-2165.
24. L. Simon, Non-linear evolution equations, with applications to geometric problems, *Ann. Math.* 118(1983)525 – 571
25. L. Simon, Theorems on Regularity and Singularity of Energy Minimizing Maps, *Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zürich*, BirkhäuserVerlag, Basel, 1996.
26. Figalli, F. Maggi, F. Pratelli, A mass transportation approach to quantitative isoperimetric inequalities, *Invent. Math.* 182 (2010) 167-211.
27. R. Schneider, *Convex Bodies: The Brunn-Minkowski Theory*, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*, Cambridge University Press, New York, 2014.
28. D. Hug, Curvature relations and affine surface area for a general convex body and its polar, *Results Math.* 29 (1996) 233-248.
29. M.N. Ivaki, Stability of the Blaschke-Santaló inequality in the plane, *Monatshefte Math.* 177(2015)451 – 459
30. M.N. Ivaki, A local uniqueness theorem for minimizers of Petty's conjectured projection inequality, *Mathematika* 64 (2018) 1-19.